

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/724,320	11/26/2003	Latonia Matthews	59124-010201	1480
33717	7590 10/20/2004		EXAMINER	
GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP			FERNSTROM, KURT	
	RADO AVENUE, SUITE 4 NICA, CA 90404	JOE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, ,		3714	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/724,320	MATTHEWS, LATONIA		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kurt Fernstrom	3712		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  INSIGN OF THIS COMMUNICAT	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABAND	be timety filed  ) days will be considered timety. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	<u>uly 2004</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	•		
3)					
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,4-11,14-18 and 20-24</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1.4-11.14-18 and 20-24</u> is/are rejecte	d.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document:  2.☐ Certified copies of the priority document:  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Appli nity documents have been rec	cation No		
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived.		
		·			
Attachmen	nt(s)				
1) 🔀 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
•	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/724,320

Art Unit: 3712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6, 11, 14-18, 20, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrases "other tools and devices" and "other conventional tools and devices" (claim 18) are overly broad, and do not apprise one of ordinary skill as to the scope of the invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-11, 14-18 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stefany. Stefany discloses in figure 1 and in column 3, line 52 to column 4, line 32 of the specification a system and method comprising an ornament 13 which is used to modify the appearance of a fastening device, and an accompanying story 17 which relates to the ornament. Column 3, lines 53-56 in particular discloses the modification of various fastening devices including cufflinks, zippers and collar clips

Application/Control Number: 10/724,320

Art Unit: 3712

using the ornament. The term "story" is very broad; thus the printed greeting is considered to be a story and read on this limitation in the claims. Column 3, lines 63-66 discloses that the greeting in most cases relates to the ornament. The recitation in claim of "fastening devices" is considered to be a multiplication of the same part. Stefany discloses a single ornament accompanying a story; providing multiple ornaments is an obvious variation on the disclosure of Stefany. Also, to the extent that "character" has any particular meaning beyond the embodiment disclosed by Stefany, such meaning is a creative expression. Providing ornaments having a specific appearance would be an aesthetic choice of design which is an obvious variation on the disclosure of Stefany. While Stefany does not disclose the use of the system to teach children how to use fastening devices; the phrase "in order to facilitate childhood learning on how to manipulate the fastening devices" is functional language which describes the intended purpose of the invention, rather than providing any additional structural limitations or concrete method steps thereto. With respect to claims 4, 10 and 14 and 15, the use of the device with zippers is disclosed by Stefan, as discussed above. With respect to claims 5, 11 and 15, the use of multiple "characters" is considered to be an obvious variation on the teachings of Stefan for the reasons discussed above. With respect to claims 6 and 11, Stefany discloses the use of cards 15 to relate the stories. With respect to claims 7, 8, 16, 17 and 20, the particular modifications to the appearance of the fastening devices and the types of stories presented are considered to be an aesthetic choice of design which is an obvious variation on the disclosure of Stefany, for the reasons discussed above. With respect to Application/Control Number: 10/724,320

Art Unit: 3712

claim 21-24, Stefany in column 3, lines 53-56 discloses the use of fastening devices which are used with clothes.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The amendments to the claims have overcome the rejections under 35 USC 101; therefore, these rejections have been withdrawn. The phrases "other tools and devices" and "other conventional tools and devices" remain indefinite under 35 USC112; as it is not clear what tools and devices are contemplated here.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Clewans discloses a device for modifying the appearance of a fastening device.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/724,320

Art Unit: 3712

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0303. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**KF** 

October 18, 2004

let fet

PRIMARY EXAMINER